

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,035	09/13/2000	Tomohide Terashima	49657-801	8222	
75	590 08/22/2002				
McDermott Will & Emery			EXAMINER		
600 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3096			LOKE, STEV	LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/661,035	TERASHIMA, TOMOHIDE M				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven Loke	2811				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	l l				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Ga). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mingray, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	lune 2002					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		actions procedution as to the mosts is				
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		u the Evenine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) ★ The proposed drawing correction filed on 6/13/02	is: a) 🛛 approved b)	disapproved by the Everpiner				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examiner.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p	3 (4) (4) (7)				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	_					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/661,035

Art Unit: 2811

1. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The written description never discloses the fourth region is electrically connected to the second electrode portion as claimed in claim 9.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al.

In regards to claim 1, Kitamura et al. discloses a semiconductor device in figs. 6(a) and 6(b), comprising: a semiconductor substrate of a first conductivity type [1]; a first region [2] of a second conductivity type formed on and in direct contact with the semiconductor substrate; a second region [8] of the second conductivity type formed at and near the surface of the first region; a third region [3] of the first conductivity type formed at and near the surface of the first region, and surrounding the second region; a first electrode portion [7] formed on the surface of the third region located between the first and second regions with an insulating film [6] therebetween; a second electrode portion [12a] connected to the second region; a third electrode portion [13] connected to the first region and spaced by a distance from the third region; and a fourth region [4] of

Application/Control Number: 09/661,035

Art Unit: 2811

the first conductivity type formed at and near the surface of the first region between the third electrode portion and the third region.

Since it is well known in the semiconductor art that a depletion layer always formed adjacent to a pn junction, there would be a depletion layer formed in the n-type region [2] which is under the p-type region [4] and a depletion layer formed in portions of the n-type region [2] between portions of the p-type region [4] when the device is in an onstate. In addition, it is inherent that a current flows perpendicular to the arrangement of the p-type region [4] and portions of the n-type regions [2] when the device is in an onstate. Therefore, it is inherent that in an on state a depletion layer extends from the fourth region and the depletion layer having a depth changing as a position moves in a direction crossing a direction flow of the current.

In regards to claim 3, it is inherent that the fourth region [4] is fixed to a constant potential because the source electrode [12a] is always connected to a constant source potential.

In regards to claim 4, Kitamura et al. further discloses the fourth region [4] is electrically connected to the second electrode portion [12a].

In regards to claim 12, Kitamura et al. differs from the claimed invention by not showing the fourth region is a continuous region having changing depths in a direction crossing a direction of current flow.

It would have been obvious for the fourth region is formed by the impurity diffusion method because it is a widely used method to form a semiconductor region in a

Application/Control Number: 09/661,035

Art Unit: 2811

semiconductor device. Therefore, the pn junction formed between the p-type region [4] and the n-type region [2] would be a curved junction.

Since the fourth region can be an impurity diffusion region, the fourth region would have a depth changing as a position moves in a direction crossing a direction of flow of the current.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is urged, in page 6 of the remarks, that claim 9 is supported by Figures 26, 27, and 29, and the accompanying portions of the specification. However, neither the drawings nor the written specification discloses the fourth region [7] is electrically connected to the second electrode portion (emitter region [6]) as claimed in claim 9.

It is urged, in pages 8-10 of the remarks, that Kitamura does not teach a depletion layer extending from the fourth region having a depth changing as position moves in a direction crossing a direction of the flow of the current as required in claim 1. However, it is well known in the semiconductor art that a depletion layer always adjacent to the pn junction. See "Semiconductor devices - Physics and Technology" by Sze, 1985, pages 70-83. Therefore, there would be a depletion layer formed in the n-type region [2] which is under the p-type region [4] and a depletion layer formed in portions of the n-type region [2] between the portions of the p-type region [4] when the device is in an on state. Since a current would flow perpendicular to the arrangement of the p-type region [4] and portions of the n-type regions [2] in Kitamura when the device is in on-state, Kitamura et al. does show a depletion layer extending from the fourth region having a

Art Unit: 2811

depth changing as position moves in a direction crossing a direction of the flow of the current as required in claim 1.

Page 5

- 5. Claims 7, 8, 10, 11 and 13 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The major difference in the claim not found in the prior art of record is a fourth region of the first conductivity type formed at and near the surface of the first region between the third electrode portion and the third region; and the fourth region having a depth changing as a position moves in a direction crossing a direction of flow of current.
- 7. Claims 2, 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP§706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sl August 15, 2002 Steven Loke Fri.nary Examinor